UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,158	12/22/2003	Einat Amitay	IL920030045US1	7754
Stephen C. Kau	7590 06/14/201 fman	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop	perty Law Dept.	PHAM, MICHAEL		
IBM Corporation P.O. Box 218			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Yorktown Heig	hts, NY 10598	2167		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/743,158	AMITAY, EINAT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL PHAM	2167	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONI TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	n SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. LY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	th the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price (a) They raise new issues that would require further considers (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	· ·
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Set 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>66-70,72-74 and 76-83</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence.	me all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does .	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
/John R. Cottingham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167	/M. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2167

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 66 and 67 have each been amended. The amendments change the scope of the claims and therefore would require further search and/or consideration.